Under the Person of Souction Act of 1995, no person are required to

PTO/SB/17 (06-07)
Approved for use through 06/30/2007. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

Under the Head Control and City of 1995, no person are required to	respond to a collection of inform	lation unless it displays a valid Olvib control number.		
F	Complete if Known			
Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).	Application Number	10/705,506-Conf. #1163		
FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2007	Filing Date	November 12, 2003		
	First Named Inventor	Tetsuo Take		
	Examiner Name	J. A. Mercado		
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27	Art Unit	1745		
		22207 400662		

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27			Art Unit		1745			
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAY	MENT	(\$) 0.00		Attomey Docket No.		32307-198662		
METHOD OF PAYMENT	(check all t	hat apply)						
Check Credit Ca		Ioney Order	Nor	ne Othe	r (please ide	entify):		
X Deposit Account Depos	it Account Numb	er: 22-0261 g	Deposit Acc	ount Name:		Venable LLI	P	
For the above-identif			-		zed to: (ch	eck all that apply)		
Charge fee(s)	•	•		<u> </u>	•	ndicated below, ex		e filing fee
Charge any ad			ments o	x Cred	lit any ove	rnavmente	•	_
fee(s) under 3					iit arry over			
FEE CALCULATION			-					
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH					5 3/41/			
		G FEES Small Entity	SE	ARCH FEES Small Entit		INATION FEES Small Entity		
Application Type	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$		Fee (\$		Fees Pa	aid (\$)
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100		
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65		
Plant	200	100	300	150 .	160	80		
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300		
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0		
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES							_	Small Entity Fee (\$)
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (including	na Daiceuae)						Fee (\$) 50	25
Each independent claim over	•						200	100
Multiple dependent claims	i 5 (inciddii	ig itelisacs)					360	180
Total Claims Extra C	laims F	ee (\$)	Fee f	Paid (\$)		Multiple Depende	nt Claims	
- 20 =	x _				j	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	Į
HP = highest number of total clair	ns paid for, if gr	reater than 20.						_
Indep. Claims Extra C		ee (\$)	Fee F	Paid (\$)				
-3 = HP = highest number of independ	X	=	- 0					
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE	•	ror, ir greater tha	n 3.					
If the specification and dra		d 100 sheets o	of paper	(excluding elec	tronically	filed sequence or	computer	
listings under 37 CFR 1	.52(e)), the	application siz	e fee du	e is \$250 (\$125	for small			
sheets or fraction thereo				-				
	tra Sheets			dditional 50 or fi			Fee P	<u>'aid (\$)</u>
- 100 =		/50 =		(round up to a w	hole numbe	r) x	=	
4. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)								
Other (e.g., late filing sur	•	(110 01111111 011	, c.sc	ount)				
	#(1// 2:					
SUBMITTED BY Signature		XV/10	<i> \{\f\}</i>	Registration No.	26,03	2 Telephone	(202) 344	-4000
Name (Print/Tyne) Marina V	Schneller			(Attorney/Agent)		Date	lune 20	

#869418

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Tetsuo TAKE

Application No. 10/705,506

Confirmation No. 1163

Filed: November 12, 2003

For:

FUEL CELL POWER

GENERATING SYSTEM WITH

TWO FUEL CELLS OF
DIFFERENT TYPES AND
METHOD OF CONTROLLING

Art Unit: 1745

Examiner: Julian A. MERCADO

Atty. Docket No. 32307-198662

Customer No.

26694
PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

On June 18 and 19, 2007 the undersigned called Mr. Ryan, the supervisor, concerning the procedures outlined below; on the 19th Mr. Ryan was reached and the following was briefly discussed.

In the June 14, 2007 Notice of non-responsiveness, the Examiner alleges that the previous AMENDMENT was not responsive, allegations which the Examiner could have made in the first Notice of non-compliance. Simply stated it was indicated to Mr. Ryan that the applicant had replied to the first Notice of non-compliance and the Examiner did not dispute the correctness of that reply but now raised a totally different issue in the June 14 Notice which had no basis in the first Notice. The import of Rule 104 is to consolidate all issues. The USPTO

process here is without a view to consolidation of issues and appears to be piece-meal. Mr. Ryan indicated that he would review the situation and get back.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 20, 2007

Marina V. Schneller Registration No. 26032

VENABLE LLP P.O. Box 34385

Washington, D.C. 20043-9998 Telephone: (202) 344-4000 Telefax: (202) 344-8300

DC2DOCS1/869414